## Pulsed EPR Studies of Mixed Valent [Fe(II)Fe(III)] Forms of Hemerythrin and Methane Monooxygenase: Evidence for a Hydroxide Bridge

H. Thomann,<sup>\*,†</sup> M. Bernardo,<sup>†</sup> J. M. McCormick,<sup>‡</sup> S. Pulver,<sup>‡</sup> K. K. Andersson,<sup>§</sup> J. D. Lipscomb,<sup>§</sup> and E. I. Solomon<sup>\*,‡</sup>

> EXXON Research & Engineering Annadale, New Jersey 08801 Department of Chemistry, Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 Department of Biochemistry, University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

> > Received June 17, 1993

Hemerythrin (Hr) and the hydroxylase component of methane monooxygenase (MMOH) both contain non-heme binuclear iron clusters. These sites serve different functions: in Hr they reversibly bind dioxygen for transport,<sup>1</sup> while in MMOH they activate dioxygen for hydroxylation of hydrocarbons.<sup>2</sup> From spectroscopy<sup>3</sup> and crystallography<sup>4</sup> it is known that the met-[Fe(III)Fe(III)] sites of Hr have an oxo bridge which dominates the bonding interaction between the two ferric sites and leads to a large antiferromagnetic (AF) coupling ( $J \approx -135$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) producing an EPR inactive singlet ground state.<sup>5</sup> In contrast, there is no evidence for an oxo bridge in met MMOH<sup>6</sup> despite the fact that this is an AF coupled  $(J \approx -7.5 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  binuclear iron site.<sup>7</sup> The bridging ligand in MMOH responsible for the AF coupling might be a hydroxide or a functional group associated with a protein residue.

Chemical and spectroscopic studies<sup>8</sup> on 1/2-met<sub>r</sub> (produced by one-electron reduction of the met site),  $9.10 \frac{1}{2}$ -met<sub>o</sub> (produced by one-electron oxidation of the fully reduced (or deoxy) [Fe(II)-Fe(II)] site<sup>9,10</sup>), and 1/2-metN<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> have shown that all three derivatives have a hydroxide bridge. For 1/2-metN<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>, the exogenous azide has replaced an additional terminal OH- which is present in 1/2-met, but not in 1/2-met, Hr.<sup>8</sup> The OH<sup>--</sup> bridge in these 1/2-met (or semi-met) [Fe(II)Fe(III)] derivatives leads to AF coupling of Fe(III)  $S = \frac{5}{2}$  with Fe(II) S = 2 to produce an S = 1/2 ground state which is EPR detectable. In this communication, we report the first pulsed EPR (ESEEM and Davies ENDOR) studies on these three 1/2-met derivatives to define the characteristic hyperfine couplings for the bridging and terminal hydroxide protons. We have used these spectral features to establish the presence of both a bridging and a terminal hydroxide in 1/2-met MMOH. The 1/2-met derivatives were prepared as described previously,<sup>8,11</sup> except that metMMOH was incubated for 25 h at 4 °C in  $H_2O$  or  $D_2O$  before reduction. The

(1) Klotz, I. M.; Kurtz, D. M., Jr. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 16.

(2) Dalton, H. In Advances in Applied Microbiology; Umbreit, W. W., Ed.; Academic Press: 1980; Vol. 26; p 71.

- (3) Sugar, H. J.; Rossman, G. R.; Barraclogh, C. G.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2683.
- (4) Stenkamp, R. E.; Sieker, L. C.; Jensen, L. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 618.
- (5) Dawson, J. W.; Gray, H. B.; Hoenig, H. E.; Rossman, G. R.; Shredder,
- (5) Dawson, J. W., Oray, R. B., Hoenig, H. E., Rossman, G. K., Shreuder, J. M.; Wang, R.-H. Biochemistry 1972, 11, 461.
  (6) DeWitt, J. G.; Bentsen, J. G.; Rosenzweig, A. C.; Hedmen, B.; Green, J.; Pilkington, S.; Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Dalton, H.; Hodgson, K. O.; Lippard, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9219.
- (7) Fox, B. G.; Hendrich, M. P.; Surerus, K. K.; Andersson, K. K.; Froland, W. A.; Lipscomb, J. D.; Munck, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3688.
   (8) McCormick, J. M.; Reem, R. C.; Solomon, E. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 1991, 113, 9066. (9) Muhoberac, B. B.; Wharton, D. C.; Babcock, L. M.; Harrington, P. C.;
- (10) Munoberac, B. B., Wharton, D. C., Baccock, L. M., Harrington, P. C.,
   Wilkins, R. G. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1980, 626, 337.
   (10) Pearce, L. L.; Kurtz, D. M., Jr.; Xia, Y.-M.; Debrunner, P. G. J. Am.
   Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7286.
   (11) Hendrich, M. P.; Fox, B. G.; Andersson, K. K.; Debrunner, P. G.;
- Lipscomb, J. D. J. Biol. Chem. 1992, 267, 261.



Figure 1. ESEEM spectra recorded with the stimulated echo pulse sequence with  $\tau = 0.18 \ \mu s$  for 1/2-met<sub>o</sub> hemerythrin at g = 1.70 in (A) H<sub>2</sub>O and (B) D<sub>2</sub>O and for 1/2-met MMOH at g = 1.93 in (C) H<sub>2</sub>O and (D) D<sub>2</sub>O. For A,B: 9.10 GHz; 1.67 K. For C,D: 9.265 GHz; 4.25 K.

MMOH spectra shown were recorded in buffer with 2% DMSO, but similar results were obtained in the absence of DMSO.

ESEEM and Davies ENDOR spectra of 1/2-meto Hr in H2O and  $D_2O$  recorded at g = 1.7 are shown in Figures 1A,B and 2A,B, respectively. ESEEM and ENDOR spectroscopies are complementary techniques for measuring nuclear hyperfine couplings not resolved in the EPR spectrum.<sup>12</sup> A prominant ESEEM line at 3.7 MHz in Figure 1A, denoted by  $\nu_{.}(H_{B})$  is not observed in Figure 1B and thus arises from an exchangeable proton. The broad peak at 28 MHz in the Davies ENDOR spectrum, Figure 2A, which is also exchangeable, Figure 2B, is the partner line,  $\nu_+(H_B)$ . The hyperfine coupling,  $|A(H_B)| = 25$ MHz, is given by  $\nu_+(H_B) - \nu_-(H_B)$ .

These assignments are corroborated by the observation of new ESEEM lines at  $v_{\pm}(D)$ , Figure 1B, as predicted from  $|A(H_B)|$ . These line assignments are further supported by the observation of the strong combination frequency<sup>13</sup> line at  $\approx 6.5$  MHz, denoted by  $\nu_{\rm C}$  in Figure 1B, which is assigned to the combination of the  $\nu_{+}(D)$  and the <sup>14</sup>N ESEEM lines (from coordinated histidines) at 2.1 MHz. An alternative assignment for  $\nu_{\rm C}$  in Figures 1B and 1D is to a second <sup>2</sup>H fundamental,  $\nu_{+}'(D)$ . This would correspond to a <sup>2</sup>H couling of  $\approx$ 8 MHz, yielding a <sup>1</sup>H coupling of  $\approx$ 52 MHz. No evidence for such a large coupling was observed in ENDOR spectra. These combined ESEEM and Davies ENDOR experiments establish a hyperfine coupling of 25 MHz at g = 1.7 for an exchangeable proton in 1/2-met<sub>o</sub> Hr.

Combined ESEEM and Davies ENDOR experiments have also been extended to measurements at both  $g_{\perp}$  and  $g_{\ell}$  for all three derivatives of 1/2-met<sub>o</sub> Hr. The results for the anisotropic hyperfine couplings,  $|A(H_B)|$ , for the exchangeable proton associated with the bridging  $OH^-$  in the 1/2-met Hr derivatives are summarized in Table I. In addition, an exchangeable proton  $|A(H_T)| \approx 8$  MHz and nearly isotropic coupling is observed for 1/2-met<sub>r</sub> but not for the other two 1/2-met Hr derivatives. Thus these results also establish the hyperfine signature of the terminal hydroxide proton in the mixed-valent Hr site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Exxon Research & Engineering.

<sup>\$</sup> Stanford University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> University of Minnesota

<sup>(12)</sup> Thomann, H.; Bernardo, M. EMR of Paramagnetic Molecules. In Biological Magnetic Resonance, Berliner, L. J., Reuben, J., Eds.; Plenum: New York, 1993; Vol. 13.

<sup>(13)</sup> Doi, K.; McCracken, J.; Peisach, J.; Aisen, P. J. Biol. Chem. 1988, 263. 5757.



Figure 2. Davies ENDOR recorded at g = 1.70 for hemerythrin 1/2-met<sub>o</sub> in (A) H<sub>2</sub>O and in (B) D<sub>2</sub>O and for MMOH recorded at g = 1.94 in (C) H<sub>2</sub>O and in (D) D<sub>2</sub>O. Microwave pulse widths 100, 50, 100 ns; 9.265 GHz; rf pulse 6  $\mu$ s;

Table I. Summary of Hyperfine Couplings

sample	g-value	$A(H_B)$ (MHz)
$\frac{1}{2}$ -met <sub>o</sub>	1.70	25
$\frac{1}{2}$ -met <sub>o</sub>	1.92	14
$1/_{2}$ -metN <sub>3</sub> <sup></sup>	1.68	31
$1/_{2}$ -metN <sub>3</sub> <sup></sup>	1.90	13.5
$\frac{1}{2}$ -met <sub>r</sub>	1.70	23
$\frac{1}{2}$ -met <sub>r</sub>	1.92	17
ММО	1.94 (g <sub>1</sub> )	23
ММО	1.86 (g <sub>2</sub> )	16
ММО	1.76 (g <sub>3</sub> )	13

From Table I, a large anisotropic hyperfine coupling is associated with the bridging OH<sup>-</sup> proton in the 1/2-met Hr derivatives with  $|A_{max}|$  along  $g_{\perp}$  in  $^{1}/_{2}$ -met<sub>o</sub>, while for both  $^{1}/_{2}$ met<sub>r</sub> and  $^{1}/_{2}$ -metN<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>,  $|A_{max}|$  is along  $g_{//}$ . The orientation of the g-tensor is determined by the zero-field splitting, D, of the ferrous site. The magnitude and anisotropy of the g-tensor are determined by D/J, where J is the exchange coupling.<sup>14</sup> This can also contribute to the anisotropy of the hyperfine coupling. A larger anisotropy is expected when  $J \approx D$ . All 1/2-met Hr derivatives have  $J \approx -8 \text{ cm}^{-1}.^{8.10,15}$  For 1/2-metN<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>  $D \approx -6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and for 1/2-met<sub>r</sub>,  $D \approx -7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  but for 1/2-meto  $D \approx +3 \text{ cm}^{-1}.^8$  The change in the sign of D is consistent with the change in orientation of  $A_{\text{max}}$  from  $g_{//}$  to  $g_{\perp}$  between the 1/2-met derivatives discussed above. An additional contribution to the hyperfine anisotropy is the dipole interaction between the proton on the hydroxide bridge and the two iron sites.

ESEEM spectra recorded at g = 1.94 for 1/2-met MMOH in H<sub>2</sub>O and in D<sub>2</sub>O are shown in Figure 1C and 1D, respectively. The ESEEM lines at 3.1 and 7.7 MHz in Figure 1C denoted by  $\nu_{-}(H_B)$  and  $\nu_{-}(H)$  are assigned to protons with  $|A(H_B)| = 23$  Hz and |A(H)| = 13 MHz, respectively. These line assignments are again supported by the observation of the strong combination frequency line at  $\approx 6.1$  MHz, denoted by  $\nu_{\rm C}$  in Figure 1D, which arises from the  $\nu_+(D)$  and the <sup>14</sup>N ESEEM lines (from coordinated histidines). Furthermore, the partner lines denoted by  $\nu_+(H_B)$ and  $\nu_{+}'(H)$  are observed in the Davies ENDOR spectrum, Figure 2C, recorded at the same g value. These protons as well as a proton with  $|A(H_T)| = 8$  MHz are observed to be exchangeable, as is evident by comparing Figures 2C and 2D. In an earlier ENDOR study<sup>11</sup> protons with  $|A| \approx 8$  MHz at  $g_1$  and 13 MHz at  $g_3$  were observed but could not be assigned since they did not appear to exchange after incubation for 8 h in  $D_2O$ .

Since the magnitude and anisotropy of the hyperfine constant characteristic of the bridging OH<sup>-</sup> observed in the 1/2-met Hrs is also observed for 1/2-met MMOH, a OH<sup>-</sup> bridge is likely also present in 1/2-met MMOH. Using the 1/2-met Hr data presented here as a reference, we can now also assign the previously reported<sup>13</sup> anisotropic <sup>2</sup>H coupling observed in ESEEM studies of uteroferrin to a hydroxide bridging ligand at the Fe(II)/ Fe(III) site. The observation of an exchangeable proton with an isotropic hyperfine coupling of the same magnitude as the terminal hydroxide proton in 1/2-met<sub>r</sub> Hr further suggests that the ferric iron in 1/2-met MMOH is also coordinated by a hydroxide ligand. A second exchangeable proton in MMOH with  $|A_{\rm H}| \approx 13$  MHz at g = 1.94, denoted by  $\nu_{+}'({\rm H})$  in Figure 2, suggests that the 1/2-met MMOH site may exist in two forms. This has also been suggested in EPR<sup>11</sup> and resonance Raman<sup>16</sup> studies.

According to EXAFS,<sup>6</sup> integer spin EPR,<sup>7</sup> and Mössbauer<sup>7</sup> studies, met MMOH does not contain an oxo bridge. Oxidation of 1/2-met MMOH to the met level thus likely results in retention of an OH<sup>-</sup> bridge. This is in contrast to the behavior of Hr, where the OH<sup>-</sup> bridge in the 1/2-met site becomes an oxo bridge upon oxidation to the met site. The origin of this difference in apparent  $pK_{as}$  of the hydroxide bridge proton may relate to the inductive effect of more oxygen ligation in MMOH, consistent with the difference in the ENDOR spectra (Figure 2) of 1/2-met Hr and MMOH, which indicate that MMOH is coordinated by fewer nitrogen ligands than Hr.

Acknowledgment. E.I.S. thanks NSF Grant MCB9019752, and J.D.L. thanks NIH Grant GM40466 for support.

<sup>(14)</sup> Sage, J. T.; Xia, Y.-M.; Debrunner, P. G.; Keough, D. T.; Jersey, J. D.; Zerner, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7239.

<sup>(15)</sup> Maroney, M. J.; Kurtz, D. M., Jr.; Nocek, J. M.; Pearce, L. L.; Que, L., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6871.

<sup>(16)</sup> Andersson, K. K.; Elgren, T. E.; Que, L., Jr.; Lipscomb, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8711.